

AB100 Workgroup Recommendations
EPSDT Allocations
October 6, 2011

1. Adjust 4th quarter AB100 EPSDT allocations
 - a. Set aside \$20.8 million for FY08/09 cost settlements consistent with the State Budget
 - b. Set aside \$4.5 million for prior year audit settlements consistent with the State Budget
 - c. Set aside \$25 million for Certified Public Expenditures (CPE) incurred on FY11/12 EPSDT services calculated based on initial cost report submissions (to be distributed in January 2013)
2. Base AB100 EPSDT allocations on actual total Certified Public Expenditures (CPE) incurred in providing EPSDT services
 - a. Limit CPE to no more than Schedule of Maximum Allowances (SMAs)
 - b. Allow counties to determine their actual EPSDT CPE based on internal records
 - i. 837/835 files
 - ii. Contract provider invoices/payments
 - iii. Cost reports
 - c. 1st and 2nd quarter allocations based on initial CMHDA survey of estimated FY11/12 EPSDT expenditures
 - d. Allow counties to revise their initial estimated FY11/12 EPSDT expenditures with more recent data for 3rd quarter AB100 EPSDT allocations
 - e. Data to be used for 4th quarter AB100 EPSDT allocations (less adjustments in #1 above) still to be determined
 - i. Potential claims data issues because of 5010 on 1/1/12
 - ii. Want some level of validation with state data
 - f. Counties that do not incur FY11/12 EPSDT expenditures that support the amounts used in the AB100 EPSDT allocations will have to return AB100 EPSDT funds to reimburse counties that did not overstate or overestimate their FY11/12 EPSDT expenditures
3. Out of County EPSDT Services
 - a. Since the AB100 EPSDT allocations are based on CPE irrespective of the county of beneficiary, the host county is reimbursed 90-95% of their costs through FFP and AB100 EPSDT funds
 - b. It is recommended that host counties do not charge the county of beneficiary until the final AB100 EPSDT amounts have been distributed and the local county match percentage is known